



**County Council  
Tuesday, 13 December 2022**

**ADDENDA**

**11. Electoral Review: Council Size (Pages 1 - 20)**

Report by Director of Law and Governance and Monitoring Officer

**The Council is RECOMMENDED**

**To agree to the Council Size submission attached to this report which recommends to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) that**

- a) The number of elected members should be increased by 6 from 63 to 69**
- b) There should be single-member Divisions if possible**

This page is intentionally left blank

**Divisions affected – All**

**COUNCIL**

**13 DECEMBER 2022**

## **ELECTORAL REVIEW: COUNCIL SIZE**

**Report of the Director of Law & Governance and Monitoring Officer**

### **RECOMMENDATION**

**1. The Council is RECOMMENDED**

To agree to the Council Size submission attached to this report which recommends to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) that

- a) The number of elected members should be increased by 6 from 63 to 69
- b) There should be single-member Divisions if possible

### **Background**

2. The Electoral Review is formally split into two phases:
- a) Council Size which includes development of electorate forecasts
  - b) Division Electoral Arrangements which involve setting the boundaries for each County Division.

3. The review timeline with key dates for the Council is summarised below:

Phase 1 -

Submit Council size proposal (number of councillors) – no later than 5 January 2023

Council size published by LGBCE – 14 February 2023

Phase 2 -

Consultation on division arrangements – 21 February to 1 May 2023

Consultation on draft recommendations – 25 July to 2 October 2023

Final recommendations published by LGBCE – 9 January 2024

The recommendations are laid before Parliament and will become effective for the next County Council Election in May 2025.

## **Council Size**

4. The initial stage of an Electoral Review is to identify and confirm the preferred Council Size. This is the number of elected members who will serve on the Council and should be the number required to deliver effective and convenient local government.
5. The final Council Size will determine the average number of electors per member, and this is used to determine Division patterns. The LGBCE may amend the agreed figure up or down by 1 or 2 later if necessary.
6. The LGBCE does not have an initial view on whether there should be an increase, decrease or no change in the Council Size. All submissions must be evidence-led and justifiable.
7. The LGBCE has provided guidance that highlights areas that should be considered when developing a proposal for Council Size:
  - a) The governance arrangements of the Council and how it takes decisions across the broad range of its responsibilities.
  - b) The Council's scrutiny functions relating to its own decision making and the Council's responsibilities to outside bodies.
  - c) The representational role of councillors in the local community and how they engage with people, conduct casework and represent the Council on local partner organisations.

## **Electorate forecast methodology**

8. The underlying data was provided by the five District Councils using figures from the electoral register published on 1 September 2022.
9. The electorate forecast methodology has been documented and shared with the LGBCE for comment. The number of planned residential dwellings for each expected development site, from 2022 to 2029, has been provided by Planning Services at each District Council and collated by County officers. Each of the development sites have been linked to their polling district, using the forward plan maps and GIS mapping systems.
10. The forecasts for Oxford City use a slightly modified methodology due to the number of students. Students typically register more in advance of a Parliamentary General Election than at other times. They have been absent for the past two years due to the Covid-19 Pandemic but are now returning.

11. For polling districts in Oxford City that have seen an increase in electorate between January 2020 (the first full Register of Electors since the 2019 General Parliamentary Election) and now, the higher electorate count has been used. These are primarily residential areas with few students.
12. For polling districts in Oxford City that have seen a decrease in electorate since January 2020 (mainly student populations), the average between the January 2020 and current electorate counts have been used. This recognises that the number of students in January 2020 was an accurate and valid count, takes into account the changes in recent years, and recognises that student populations, whilst present, don't always register to vote consistently. The LGBCE have indicated that they are happy with this methodology.
13. The LGBCE requested some changes to the overall model, as the provisional increase was greater than they anticipated. As a result, the model has been adjusted in line with their suggestions (such as not assuming that all electors will move in and register to vote immediately after completion of properties).
14. The electorate forecast model has been built and tested, using provisional electorate counts and final planning data. The number of registered local government electors, as of 1 December 2022, is 521,890. It is projected to increase to 580,747 by the year 2029. The growth will not be evenly spread but would lead to greater electoral inequality without this review. The expectation is that, as a result of this review, all divisions will have a variance of less than 10%.

## **Review process**

15. Following the Council meeting on 7 October 2022, a cross-party working group was established comprising the following members:  
Liberal Democrat Green Alliance – Cllrs Robin Bennett, Neil Fawcett, Freddie van Mierlo and Alison Rooke  
Conservative Independent Alliance – Cllrs Kieron Mallon, Ian Snowdon and Ted Fenton  
Labour and Cooperative Party Group – Cllrs Brad Baines and Andrew Coles  
Non-aligned Independent – Cllr Stefan Gawrysiak  
  
Cllr Stefan Gawrysiak was appointed as chair. Cllrs Ian Middleton, Jane Murphy and Eddie Reeves also attended meetings as substitutes.
16. A technical officer working group was set up to coordinate the gathering of information to support the review. This included the Electoral Managers from Cherwell District Council, Oxford City Council, South Oxfordshire District Council, Vale of White Horse District Council and West Oxfordshire District

Council along with officers from the County Council's GIS and Democratic Services teams.

17. A survey was sent to all members to gather views around workloads, capacity and other duties. The anonymised results are included in the submission.
18. Three meetings of the cross-party member working group were held to develop the Council Size submission. In undertaking their work, members were conscious of the national context in terms of legislative requirements and cost-of-living pressures. They were mindful of financial pressures and noted that any increase in the total number of councillors will lead to higher costs for the Council, due to allowances and on-costs in terms of additional officer support. However, the increasing electoral population will result in higher revenue from Council Tax. It is recognised that there is a cost associated with effective democracy and proper representation.
19. The county has a major city in Oxford, a number of market towns and a large rural area covered by parish councils, all with their own distinct styles and communities, and the issues experienced and resulting case work for councillors differ significantly.
20. In light of the Council motion on 1 November 2022, consideration was given to the potential impact of changing governance from cabinet and leader to a committee system. Some members of the working group had experience of the committee system prior to the introduction of new governance arrangements through the Local Government Act 2000. Although the workload would be spread among members in a different way, they believed that the overall level of activity was likely to be broadly similar and would not require more or fewer members than under a cabinet and leader.
21. County Councillors provide a vital role in representing their local communities and therefore it is important that the number of electors they represent does not rise excessively. With significant growth in the electorate, the only way this can be achieved is through an increase in the number of elected members on the Council.
22. A range of options for Council Size were considered by members of the working group. These ranged from keeping the current number of members to increasing the Council Size to 72. After a lengthy discussion, a cross-party consensus was reached on a Council Size of 69. This results in an average of 8,417 electors per member based on the projected Oxfordshire electoral population in 2029 compared with the current figure of 8,284 electors per member (Council Size 63).

23. There was strong support across the working group for single-member Divisions and for an odd (rather than even) total number of members.

### **Corporate policies and priorities**

24. The electoral review is an essential part of good governance for the Council and will ensure that the electorate is represented fairly across the county.

### **Financial implications**

25. There is no specific budget for undertaking the review so costs are being met from General Balances as a supplementary estimate – it is unplanned expenditure but necessary. The costs will be monitored by Law and Governance and reported through the Business Management report. If the Council Size is increased from 2025 there will be additional costs relating to members including allowances, travelling and officer support.

### **Legal implications**

26. The Local Government Boundary Commission for England is established under Section 55 of the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Consultation Act 2009. The Council is required by the Commission to respond to the electoral review and must meet the deadlines set by the Commission for each phase of the review.

### **Staff implications**

27. Limited staff resources are available in Law and Governance to support the review. Extra capacity has been sought from the Association of Electoral Administrators to allow a specialist with electoral review knowledge to be engaged on a part-time basis to coordinate the collation of information and ensure that critical deadlines are met.

### **Equality and inclusion implications**

28. The electoral review will help in ensuring that the electorate in Oxfordshire, including minority and protected groups, are fairly represented.

**Anita Bradley**  
**Director of Law & Governance and Monitoring Officer**

Annex: Oxfordshire County Council LGBCE Council Size submission

Background papers: Electoral Review report presented to Council on 7 October 2022

Please refer to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England website ([www.lgbce.org.uk](http://www.lgbce.org.uk)) for information on previous electoral reviews undertaken in Oxfordshire.

Contact Officer: Simon Harper, Head of Governance  
Email [simon.harper@oxfordshire.gov.uk](mailto:simon.harper@oxfordshire.gov.uk)

December 2022





# Oxfordshire County Council

**Electoral Review of Oxfordshire County Council 2022**

## Council Size submission

**13 December 2022**

### About this document

1. This document is submitted as evidence from Oxfordshire County Council to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) as part of the electoral review currently taking place. This is the Authority's submission on Council size.
2. This document has been prepared and collated by Officers using a range of information available, for consideration by Elected Members of the Council
3. At present, Oxfordshire County Council is served by 63 Councillors, representing 61 Divisions. All but two are single-Member Divisions, and the remaining two are two-Member Divisions.
4. It should be noted that the Submission should be considered in its entirety, rather than as a series of separate sections.

### Introduction

5. The most recent electoral review of Oxfordshire County Council was held in 2012. Due to residential development and the growth of the local population, there are electoral imbalances between Divisions.
6. As a result, this current Review is being conducted by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) to resolve the imbalances and provide greater electoral equality.
7. The initial stage of an Electoral Review is to identify and confirm the preferred Council Size. This is the number of elected Councillors who will serve on the Council and should be the number required to deliver effective and convenient local government (the number of members to enable the Council and individual Councillors to perform most effectively).
8. The final size of the Council will determine the average number of electors per Councillor, and this is then used to determine Division patterns. As such, it is important that the figure agreed is correct and reflects the needs of the authority and of the community, although it should be noted that the LGBCE may amend the agreed figure if necessary, in order to allow for a better representation of electors and as a result of consultation.



# Oxfordshire County Council

9. Within the review process, the LGBCE do not have an initial view on whether there should be an increase, decrease or no change in the size of the Council. However, all submissions must be evidence-led and justifiable.

## Guidance on calculating Council Size

10. The LGBCE has provided guidance that highlights the areas that should be considered when developing a proposal for Council Size; these are considered in detail in the pages that follow:
- The governance arrangements of the Council and how it takes decisions across the broad range of its responsibilities.
  - The Council's scrutiny functions relating to its own decision making and the Council's responsibilities to outside bodies.
  - The representational role of Councillors in the local community and how they engage with people, conduct casework and represent the Council on local partner organisations.

## Local electorate

11. The number of registered local government electors, as of 1 December 2022, was 521,890. It is projected to increase to around 580,747 by the year 2029. That growth will not be evenly spread, but would lead to greater electoral inequality without this review. The table below provides the current (2022) and projected (2029) electorates by division, assuming no changes in electoral boundaries or arrangements. The expectation, through this review led by the LGBCE, is that all divisions will have a variance of less than 10%.

Name of Division	Number of Councillors	2022 Electorate	Variance 2022	2029 Electorate	Variance 2029
Abingdon East	1	7,450	-10%	7,468	-19%
Abingdon North	1	8,844	7%	8,844	-4%
Abingdon South	1	8,587	4%	8,598	-7%
Banbury Calthorpe	1	7,684	-7%	8,716	-5%
Banbury Grimsbury & Castle	1	8,706	5%	8,879	-4%
Banbury Hardwick	1	9,005	9%	9,005	-2%
Banbury Ruscote	1	7,858	-5%	7,858	-15%
Barton, Sandhills and Risinghurst	1	7,160	-14%	7,817	-15%
Benson and Cholsey	1	9,461	14%	10,662	16%
Berinsfield and Garsington	1	8,320	0%	11,104	20%
Bicester North	1	7,687	-7%	8,168	-11%
Bicester Town	1	6,976	-16%	8,438	-8%
Bicester West	1	8,735	5%	8,735	-5%
Bloxham & Easington	1	7,979	-4%	8,201	-11%
Burford and Carterton North	1	7,738	-7%	8,888	-4%
Carterton South and West	1	8,619	4%	8,993	-2%
Chalgrove and Watlington	1	9,329	13%	10,543	14%
Charlbury and Wychwood	1	8,182	-1%	8,195	-11%
Chipping Norton	1	8,789	6%	9,672	5%
Churchill and Lye Valley	1	7,765	-6%	8,502	-8%



# Oxfordshire County Council

Name of Division	Number of Councillors	2022 Electorate	Variance 2022	2029 Electorate	Variance 2029
Cowley	1	8,330	1%	9,121	-1%
Deddington	1	9,714	17%	9,714	5%
Didcot East and Hagbourne	1	7,666	-7%	7,818	-15%
Didcot Ladygrove	1	6,192	-25%	6,750	-27%
Didcot West	1	11,509	39%	12,223	33%
Eynsham	1	8,680	5%	10,670	16%
Faringdon	1	7,863	-5%	8,504	-8%
Goring	1	8,925	8%	9,194	0%
Grove and Wantage	2	16,731	1%	20,612	12%
Hanborough and Minster Lovell	1	9,219	11%	10,371	13%
Headington and Quarry	1	8,077	-2%	9,254	0%
Hendreds and Harwell	1	10,239	24%	13,518	47%
Henley-on-Thames	1	9,504	15%	9,769	6%
Iffley Fields and St. Mary`s	1	7,136	-14%	7,479	-19%
Isis	1	6,382	-23%	7,679	-17%
Jericho and Osney	1	7,167	-13%	7,473	-19%
Kennington and Radley	1	8,448	2%	10,152	10%
Kidlington South	1	7,565	-9%	13,420	46%
Kingston and Cumnor	1	10,604	28%	11,277	22%
Kirtlington & Kidlington North	1	8,994	9%	8,994	-2%
Leys	1	8,272	0%	9,110	-1%
Marston and Northway	1	6,891	-17%	7,830	-15%
North Hinksey	1	8,139	-2%	8,264	-10%
Otmoor	1	9,012	9%	10,828	17%
Ploughley	1	8,041	-3%	9,432	2%
Rose Hill and Littlemore	1	7,823	-6%	8,522	-8%
Shrivenham	1	8,860	7%	9,471	3%
Sonning Common	1	8,173	-1%	8,738	-5%
St. Clement`s and Cowley Marsh	1	5,868	-29%	6,732	-27%
St. Margaret`s	1	6,988	-16%	7,599	-18%
Sutton Courtenay and Marcham	1	9,157	11%	10,895	18%
Thame and Chinnor	2	17,619	6%	18,102	-2%
University Parks	1	4,267	-48%	8,023	-13%
Wallingford	1	8,486	2%	11,285	22%
Wheatley	1	7,529	-9%	8,669	-6%
Witney North and East	1	8,677	5%	9,318	1%
Witney South and Central	1	8,588	4%	8,691	-6%
Witney West and Bampton	1	10,409	26%	10,865	18%
Wolvercote and Summertown	1	7,668	-7%	8,887	-4%
Woodstock	1	8,404	1%	9,008	-2%
Wroxton & Hook Norton	1	9,200	11%	9,200	0%
<b>Overall</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>521,890</b>		<b>580,747</b>	



# Oxfordshire County Council

## Council Vision and Priorities

12. The Council has a clear vision: Working in partnership to make Oxfordshire a greener, fairer and healthier county. It is clear that the Council must work with its partners, businesses, districts, and urban and rural communities to achieve this vision.
13. The Council has nine cross-cutting strategic priorities setting out the areas of focus:
  - a. Put action to address the climate emergency at the heart of our work.
  - b. Tackle inequalities in Oxfordshire.
  - c. Prioritise the health and wellbeing of residents.
  - d. Support carers and the social care system.
  - e. Invest in an inclusive, integrated and sustainable transport network.
  - f. Preserve and improve access to nature and green spaces.
  - g. Create opportunities for children and young people to reach their full potential.
  - h. Play our part in a vibrant and participatory local democracy.
  - i. Work with local businesses and partners for environmental, economic and social benefit.

## Managing the business of the Council

14. Oxfordshire County Council has adopted the 'Leader and Cabinet' model of local governance. At present, a joint administration of three political parties (two political groups) lead the Council and form the Cabinet. The model comprises:
  - a. Full Council of 63 Members, who approve and adopt the budget and key policies within which Cabinet decisions are taken. Council appoints members of Committees and holds them and the Cabinet to account for the decisions they take.
  - b. Cabinet comprises 10 Members. All key decisions at Oxfordshire County Council are made by Members of the Cabinet. They:
    - i. Recommend budget and policy framework to the Council
    - ii. Lead the Council
    - iii. Make all the key decisions
    - iv. Commission policy reviews from Scrutiny Committees
  - c. Committees make non-Cabinet decisions, and cover specific functions not dealt with by the Cabinet (such as audit, organisational matters, planning, pension fund arrangements and standards). They make decisions delegated to them by the Council.
  - d. Cabinet Advisory Groups examine topics selected by Cabinet which align to corporate Council priorities. They enable back bench Members to be more closely involved with issues of greatest importance to the Council.
  - e. Scrutiny is an important function of the Council, and is separate from the Cabinet key decision makers. Scrutiny Committees:
    - i. Review issues before decisions are made
    - ii. Call-in decisions after they are made
    - iii. Carry out policy development work for the Cabinet
    - iv. Commission their own scrutiny reviews
  - f. Councillors' overriding duty is to the whole community, but they are democratically accountable to all the residents of their Division. Their role is to



# Oxfordshire County Council

represent the residents of their Division, share in the policy and budgetary decisions of the Full Council, suggest policy improvements, and scrutinise the Cabinet's policy proposals and their implementation.

- g. In addition, Members also sit on Joint Committees (working in partnership with other public sector organisations), Strategic Partnerships and External Bodies, representing the interests of the County Council.

## Member allowances

15. During the financial year 2021/22, the Basic Allowance paid to each Member was £11,013 and the total sum of allowances paid to County Councillors £1,005,141 (including subsistence and expenses). With effect from April 2022, each Member receives a Basic Allowance of £12,000, with a projected total cost for allowances for this financial year 2022/23 of £1,102,350 (excluding subsistence and expenses and subject to cost-of-living increases.) Those with a Special Responsibility receive an additional sum to reflect their additional responsibility. Members can have more than one such Special Responsibility, but payment for these roles is capped at a maximum of two. Members may also claim for mileage and expenses, and provision is made for caring responsibilities.

## Roles and responsibilities of Councillors

### Full Council

16. The Council currently has 63 Councillors.
17. All Councillors are Members of Full Council, which meets seven times per year. The biggest decisions, such as the acceptance of policies and the budget, are reserved for Full Council.

### Cabinet

18. Oxfordshire County Council is currently led by a joint administration. As a result, the Cabinet includes Members from three political parties, bringing a breadth of experience. The Cabinet comprises 10 Members.
19. Key decisions are usually made by Cabinet Members, meeting either jointly as the Cabinet or as individual Cabinet Members, taking delegated decisions within their own responsibilities. Cabinet meetings are held once a month and are attended by all Cabinet Members. The Cabinet is also responsible for preparing the budget and policies to propose to the Full Council. Officers are also able to take key decisions acting under delegated powers.
20. The current Cabinet Member's portfolios are set out in the Constitution.

### Delegations to Officers

21. The Council has a comprehensive Scheme of Delegation to Officers (as set out in the Constitution) which clearly sets out where the responsibility and extent of delegation lies.
22. These delegations to officers have helped to reduce the burden on Members.



# Oxfordshire County Council

## Regulatory Committees

23. Under the terms of the Constitution, a number of regulatory and other Committees have been established. These have delegated authority to carry out and/or oversee specific duties and functions of the Council. The table below gives an overview of these Committees.

<b>Committee</b>	<b>Number of Members</b>	<b>Meetings/year</b>
Audit & Governance Committee	9	6
Pension Fund Committee	5	4
Planning & Regulation Committee	12	8

## Cabinet Advisory Groups

24. Oxfordshire County Council uses Cabinet Advisory Groups as necessary, which examine topics selected by Cabinet which align to corporate Council priorities. They enable back bench Members to be more closely involved with issues of greatest importance to the Council. Cabinet have recently agreed to a recommendation for a cross-party Cabinet Advisory Group to be convened, which will oversee the development of the options and business cases for the city centre accommodation review.

## Other Committees

25. Committees cover specific functions. They make decisions delegated to them by the Council. Committees are made up of Councillors (including Cabinet Members).

These include:

- a. Remuneration Committee
- b. Remuneration (Appointments) Sub-Committee
- c. Charlotte Coxe Memorial Trust Committee

## Joint Committees

26. Oxfordshire County Council is represented on a number of Joint Committees, alongside other public sector bodies operating in the area. This approach allows greater collaborative working between organisations and a more efficient and effective use of public resources to benefit local communities and deliver services.

These include:

- a. Adults with Care and Supports Needs Joint Management Group
- b. Better Care Fund Joint Management Group
- c. Health Improvement Partnership Board
- d. Horton Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee
- e. Oxfordshire Health & Wellbeing Board
- f. Oxfordshire Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee
- g. Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire and Berkshire Joint Health Scrutiny Committee
- h. Thames Valley Fire Control Joint Committee
- i. Thames Valley Police and Crime Panel
- j. Thames Valley Police and Crime Panel Complaints Sub-Committee



# Oxfordshire County Council

## Strategic Partnerships

27. Oxfordshire County Council has two high-level strategic partnerships to oversee key county-wide priority functions. These include:
- Joint Management Group for Adults
  - Future Oxfordshire Partnership (previously known as Oxfordshire Growth Board)
  - Oxfordshire Waste Partnership Joint Committee (meeting with the Oxfordshire Environment Partnership)

## Scrutiny Committees

28. The Scrutiny Committees provide advice and challenge to the Cabinet and may review its decisions.

Scrutiny Committee	Number of Members	Meetings/year
People Overview & Scrutiny Committee	9	4
Performance & Corporate Services Overview & Scrutiny Committee	9	4
Place Overview & Scrutiny Committee	9	4

## Cross-Party Working Groups and Scrutiny Task and Finish Groups

29. The Council also appoints Members to Cross-Party Working Groups in relation to specific subject areas of importance to Council overall. These include the:
- Constitution Working Group (November 2021 onwards)
  - Transport Working Group (February 2022 to May 2022)
  - Carbon Reduction Targets Working Group (March 2022 to April 2022)
  - Home to School Transport Working Group (June 2022 onwards)
  - Democratic Processes Working Group (due to commence in February 2023)

## Locality meetings

30. Oxfordshire has been split into nine locality areas with an average of seven Councillors. They allow a two-way conversation between Councillors and officers about plans, issues and challenges within a given locality.

## Summary of appointments

31. In total, during the municipal year 2021-22 there were 1,401 attendances at formal meetings. This is in addition to many informal and ad hoc meetings and briefings. This equates to an average of over 22 per Councillor. Most meetings are open for Members to attend as observers, and many Councillors do take advantage of this right to ensure they keep up-to-date with matters of interest to their communities. In addition, there are further special meetings convened as required.

## Cancellations

32. Over the past 18 months, a number of meetings have been postponed, cancelled or moved to virtual online events due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Some discussion forums have remained accessible online, allowing a greater level of attendance by Members and the public, whilst statutory meetings have had to revert to in-person attendances to comply with the law. The number of meetings cancelled for reasons other than COVID-19 is small.



# Oxfordshire County Council

## Attendance of Members

33. In addition to looking at the extent to which cancellations have taken place, it is also important to look at the levels of attendance in order to gain an appreciation of how the Council currently functions. During 2021/22, Councillors attended an average of 92% of the meetings they were expected to. Given the ongoing impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, this is a high attendance rate.

## Outside Bodies

34. The Council nominates Members to external bodies to represent the authority and local people to a range of different groups. These all meet 'as required', but the commitment of being a member of the external body includes preparing for and attending meetings, representing the views of the authority and its residents to the body, and feeding information back to the authority. Details here – [www.mycouncil.oxfordshire.gov.uk/mqListOutsideBodies.aspx?bcr=1](http://www.mycouncil.oxfordshire.gov.uk/mqListOutsideBodies.aspx?bcr=1)

## Other responsibilities

35. In addition to attending meetings, Councillors play a key role as advocates and representatives of their local communities. Outside of the COVID-19 pandemic era, many hosted meetings and events for their residents. They attend local community events, representing the Council to residents and engaging with residents to identify matters of concern to them. They also receive letters, emails and telephone calls from local residents seeking information, advice and support in their interactions with both the Council and broader democratic and governmental processes, as well as communicating with residents through social media.
36. At present, 36 of the 63 Members also serve as District Councillors on one of the five Districts in the County, and some also serve as Parish or Town Councillors in their local community. Regardless of these additional responsibilities, many County Councillors attend local community events within their areas to serve local people and understand the issues they face.
37. The Chair and Vice-Chair of the Council preside at full Council meetings and have an important civic role in representing the Council at a range of events and functions across Oxfordshire. They have a close relationship with community organisations and charities. They work alongside the Lord Lieutenant and High Sheriff in undertaking ceremonial duties.
38. Councillors were invited to complete a short form to give a clearer understanding of their current workloads and other commitments. Of 63 Councillors, 16 responded (25%). Not all questions were relevant to all Councillors, so figures below are based on the actual responses received. Councillors who did respond may differ from those that did not, so these may be overestimates.
- a. Due to the varying nature of their roles and responsibilities, time in service, and other commitments, the amount of time spent on County Council duties varies considerably. For example, a Councillor in opposition or a back bench





# Oxfordshire County Council

Councillor without additional responsibilities will have fewer meetings and formal engagements than Group Leaders or Chairs of Committees.

- b. The amount of time spent preparing for meetings varies, depending on the type of meeting and the level of interaction required with colleagues, members of the public, or other stakeholders in advance of the meeting. For individual Councillors, the amount of time preparing for formal meetings also depends on the number of meetings they are expected to attend. In a typical month, respondents told us the amount of preparation time varied but averaged 36 hours (range between 13 and 80 hours). In addition, follow-up actions and activities are required for many. Councillors also advised that their role of being a community representative extends into many areas of their life, making assessments of time commitment very difficult.
- c. The COVID-19 pandemic has affected workloads in different ways. Some Councillors reported the number of formal meetings decreased, and travel time decreased due to the use of digital meeting technologies. Others reported that caseloads have increased owing to more people working from home and noticing issues in the local community more readily.
- d. Councillors represent communities throughout the county. As such, many travel considerable distances to attend Council meetings and events, as well as attending activities in their own Division.
- e. County Councillors spend considerable amounts of time in other meetings and engaging in other business of the Council. Whilst project meetings, discussions with Officers, briefings and informal meetings do not apply to all Councillors, for those for whom it does, it takes around 20 hours per month. For specific projects, or for Councillors with specific responsibilities, this can be considerably longer and may involve extended engagements, and multiple briefings and discussions.
- f. The amount of time required for external appointments, where the Councillor represents Oxfordshire County Council to another body, also varies considerably. However, many Councillors represent the County Council in a number of ways, including:
  - i. working with active travel groups
  - ii. attending Parish Council meetings
  - iii. attending resident associations and neighbourhood forums
  - iv. being a governor to local primary schools
  - v. working with other public sector organisations on project delivery
  - vi. attending community events
  - vii. working with rail and other transport service providers
  - viii. working with the military as a representative
  - ix. working on local social housing committees
  - x. attending Fostering and Adoption Panel meetings
  - xi. supporting local business, charities and sporting clubs



# Oxfordshire County Council

- xii. attending boards and committee meetings
  - xiii. supporting community initiatives such as food banks and community kitchens
  - xiv. working with partner organisations on health and wellbeing, and community cohesion projects
  - xv. supporting community groups, environmental projects and children's centres
- g. Over half of Oxfordshire County Councillors are also District Councillors, and many are also Parish or Town Councillors. Whilst there is an overlap in their representative role, County Councillors with these additional roles report considerable additional time due to their formal duties. This includes meetings, preparation, and casework.
- h. Some County Councillors also have roles within their political groups, and most are members of a political party. Whilst not directly part of their duties as a Councillor, the political system is an important structure within their work.
- i. Most County Council Divisions are served by several Parish Councils, with one served by over 20 parishes, and County Councillors often attend most Parish Council meetings in their area where possible. Many also provide written reports to their Parish Councils with an update on activities that may affect them. This leads some to attend many meetings per month, often several each week, with each taking an evening for the meeting and preparation time.
- j. The volume of case work (representing and responding to the needs and concerns of local residents) also varies considerably between Councillors and over periods of time. Whilst one or two hours per day is typical, this can easily extend to several hours for complex or pressing concerns. One Councillor advised that they are on call every day of the week for up to 18 hours per day, and it is never possible to predict when they will be called upon for help by residents, local businesses or other Councillors; another Member reported that the case work they do is the equivalent of a full-time job now they have retired from paid employment, taking 35+ hours per week. Councillors reported receiving emails, phone calls and in-person conversations from residents asking for support, and spend time liaising with officers and other organisations to seek resolution. Some Councillors also hold surgeries or walk through their local area on a regular basis.
- k. In addition to their formal duties and casework, Councillors undertake training to help make them as effective as possible in their roles. This includes general training and support, as well as specialised training.
- l. Around two-thirds of responding Councillors also work (either part-time or full-time). Some Councillors have changed their working practices to accommodate their role as a County Councillor, noting that daytime meetings



# Oxfordshire County Council

for the Council make employment challenging; others noted that their businesses have suffered due to the role of County Councillor taking considerable time away from it. Many respondents reported that their role as a County Councillor has led to a reduction in income from self-employment, due to the considerable requirements of the role.

- m. Around one-quarter of responding Councillors also have caring responsibilities, either of children or of their parents or older relatives. Those with caring responsibilities reported on the need to juggle their various roles to accommodate Council business alongside family life.
- n. One Councillor summed up: “County Council work fills the time allocated to it. It might be relatively easy to take a back seat, but that would make the role rather pointless and be unfair to residents.”
- o. The timing and location of meetings has an impact on how effective Councillors can be, owing to travel commitments and overlap of other responsibilities.

39. Whilst an increase in the number of electors alone will not increase the number of meetings, it could increase the caseload work. Any reduction in the number of Councillors would need to be accompanied by changes to the Council’s governance arrangements to reduce the meeting requirements (either by reducing the number of meetings, or reducing the number of Councillors attending). However, in the absence of any such planned changes, a reduction in the size of Council would result in an increase in the number of meetings individuals are expected to attend. This may result in some existing Councillors being unable to continue in their current roles and may deter new candidates from standing for election in future.

40. In addition, the expected growth of the electorate indicates that, without other changes, the number of electors each Councillor would represent would increase considerably. This, similar to an actual reduction in the number of Councillors, may preclude Councillors from being able to carry out their duties effectively, to the detriment of local people.

## Comparison to other authorities

41. The LGBCE offer a chart showing the Council size of the authorities in the CIPFA nearest neighbours model. Using publicly available information, the table below shows comparisons in the number of electors per Councillor (using 2021 electorate figures and current number of Councillors). Given demographic and other local differences between areas, there is no expectation that every County Council should have the same ratio of electors to Councillors.



# Oxfordshire County Council

Authority *	Local government electorate**	Cllrs	Electors per Councillor	Last LGBCE review	Deprivation †	Area ‡	Electors per square mile
<b>Oxfordshire</b>	<b>516,947</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>8,206</b>	<b>2012; ongoing</b>	<b>0.250 [3]</b>	<b>1,006</b>	<b>514</b>
Cambridgeshire	487,632	61	7,995	2016	1.070 [7]	1,310	372
Gloucestershire	488,097	53	9,209	2012	3.220 [12]	1,220	400
Warwickshire	443,888	57	7,778	2015	1.770 [8]	763	582
West Sussex	659,418	70	9,420	2016	0.990 [5]	769	858
Hampshire	1,053,198	78	13,503	2016	0.840 [4]	1,455	724
Hertfordshire	870,017	78	11,154	2015	0.140 [2]	634	1372
Essex	1,116,590	75	14,888	2004	3.440 [13]	1,420	786
Worcestershire	455,559	57	7,992	2004	4.950 [14]	672	678
Surrey	874,125	81	10,792	2012	<.100 [1]	642	1362
Leicestershire	539,750	55	9,814	2016	1.010 [6]	832	649
Suffolk	569,296	75	7,591	2021 (reduces Members to 70 at next election)	4.990 [15]	1,468	388
Somerset	433,855	55	7,888	2012	2.750 [10]	1,610	269
North Yorkshire	480,120	90	5,335	2004	2.950 [11]	3,341	144
Kent	1,146,341	81	14,152	2016	5.650 [16]	1,443	794
Staffordshire	663,673	62	10,704	2012	2.080 [9]	1,048	633

\* Based on CIPFA nearest neighbours model as reported by LGA Inform (<https://lginform.local.gov.uk/reports/view/lga-research/lga-research-cohesion-and-integration?mod-area=E10000025>)

\*\* Electorate as of 1 December 2021 register publication, as published by the Office for National Statistics.

† Proportion of most deprived lower super output areas (LSOA) in 2015; square brackets indicates the rank in the CIPFA group, with [1] the lowest and least deprived. Data from LG Inform.

‡ Area (square miles) as reported by <https://populationdata.org.uk/english-counties-by-population-and-area/>

Cells highlighted RED have an electorate per Councillor ratio greater than Oxfordshire.



# Oxfordshire County Council

42. Using the December 2022 electorate (the most up-to-date figure available), Oxfordshire County Council currently has 8,284 electors per Councillor; if it retains 63 Councillors the electorate ratio will be 9,075 in six years' time.

## Council Size considerations

43. Through discussions at the Cross-Party Member Working Group, County Councillors have shared their views on the future size of Oxfordshire County Council. It is noted that any increase in the total number of Councillors will lead to an increase in financial cost to the authority, due to allowances and on-costs in terms of additional officer support, but it is understood that there is a cost associated with effective democracy and proper representation.
44. At the 2005 County Council elections, there were 74 elected County Councillors. A subsequent review in 2012 reduced this to 63, the current figure. Since that review, the county experienced sustained electoral growth.
45. The electorate forecast to 2029 indicates further substantial growth. Members of Council feel that any further reduction in their number would lead to an untenable situation with too many electors being represented by each Councillor. This would lead to a reduction in the level of service a Councillor can provide.
46. County Councillors play an important role as leaders and representatives of their communities. They attend Parish and Town Council meetings, to ensure the views of local people are heard and understood. They attend local and community events in their electoral Divisions to represent the Council to local people. They play a key role in listening to the needs, issues and ideas of communities and relaying these through both the decision-making processes and to Officers of the Council. They support local people both collectively, such as through making changes to services, and individually through case work. Many of these roles take place privately, without the general public being aware of the work involved.
47. It is important for Oxfordshire County Councillors to be able to represent local people effectively. The county has a number of larger towns, with their own distinct styles, communities and local issues, as well as a large number of smaller parishes, and the issues experienced in urban and rural areas differ.
48. Oxfordshire County Councillors are expected to be available for their constituents, and to appropriately represent their needs.
49. Given the important roles County Councillors fulfil, it is vital that they can continue to represent local communities. The only way to do that is by ensuring the number of electors per Councillor does not rise excessively. Given the ongoing significant growth in the electorate, the only way to achieve that is through an increase in the number of Councillors on Oxfordshire County Council.



# Oxfordshire County Council

50. The table below outlines the impact of different Council Sizes on the number of Electors per Councillor, compared to the current figure (in December 2022, with 63 Councillors) of 8,284.

Electorate, 2029	Council Size	Electors per councillor
580,747	61	9520
580,747	62	9367
580,747	63	9218
580,747	64	9074
580,747	65	8935
580,747	66	8799
580,747	67	8668
580,747	68	8540
580,747	69	8417
580,747	70	8296
580,747	71	8180
580,747	72	8066
580,747	73	7955

## Council Size Submission

- (1) Taking into account the details of this report, which outline the workload of Oxfordshire Council Councillors in terms of both meetings and casework, the current financial climate, future methods of working, changes in the structure and service delivery of the authority over recent years, and recognising the changing role of Councillors moving forwards, the Council has discussed the following and there is **#cross-party agreement#** to this being the formal Council Size submission on behalf of Oxfordshire County Council to the LGBCE as part of the current Review.
- (2) Oxfordshire County Council recognise that all current Divisions will be reviewed and are likely to change in the next stage of the Review, and a separate submission will be made to the LGBCE to support that process.
- (3) Oxfordshire County Council **submit that the future Council size for this authority should be 69.**
- (4) **In addition, Oxfordshire County Council requests that the future Division arrangements comprise solely single Member Divisions as far as possible.**